

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Practitioner's Docket No. 63854-5003

DEC 08 2004

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: William F. Scholz, Eric Chen-nan Su, Norman A. Conti, Johannes Schut,
David J. Scanlan, Steven J. Vargas, Ronald Ugolick, Jose Luis Mendez,
and Edward I. Sun

Application No.: 09/925,836

Group No.: 1772

Filed: 08/07/2001

Examiner: Ahmad, Nasser

For: OVERLAMINATED PRESSURE-SENSITIVE ADHESIVE CONSTRUCTION

RESPONSE UNDER
37 C.F.R. § 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP

OK to Enter
NA
12-23-04

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION

In response to the Advisory Action dated November 5, 2005, and in further response to the Office action dated July 8, 2004, Applicants encloses herewith a Petition for an Extension of Time, and respectfully request that the above-identified patent application be amended as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 5 of this paper.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

TRANSMISSION

☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703) 872 - 9306.


Signature

Date: December 8, 2004

Karen Earl

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

Amendment or Response After Final Rejection - page 1 of 5